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## Executive Summary:

This report outlines the changes needed to proportionality and committees as a result of Councillor Venables ceasing to be a Councillor. It suggests a number of options for Council to choose from in order to restore proportionality and allows an opportunity for Group Leaders to make new and adjust existing nominations to committees.

## Summary of Recommendation(s):

2.12.1 That Council agrees one of the options outlined at paragraphs $2.5-2.9$ to achieve proportionality.
3.8.1 To note the corresponding group nominations to Committees, Panels and Boards for the remainder of 2018/19 as per paragraph 2.12.1. (The names of those Councillors so nominated will be presented at the meeting)

| CORPORATE IMPLICATIONS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Financial and <br> Value for <br> Money | There are no direct financial implications from this report. However <br> members allowances included in the annual budget are based on the <br> existing structure and any increase in size or number of committees would <br> require approval of the resulting increase in the budget. |
| Legal | The composition and allocation of membership of committees has been <br> based on the relevant legislative requirements. |
| Corporate | There are no direct Corporate Implications |
| Equalities Act <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 ~ \& ~ P u b l i c ~}$ <br> Sector <br> Equality Duty | Members are reminded of the requirement, under the Public Sector <br> Equality Duty (section 149 of the Equality Act 2010) to have due regard to <br> the aims of the Duty at the time the decision is taken. The aims of the <br> Duty are: (i) eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment, victimisation <br> and other conduct prohibited by the Act, (ii) advance equality of <br> opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and <br> people who do not share it, and (iii) foster good relations between people <br> who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it. |
| Protected characteristics: age, gender, disability, race, sexual orientation, <br> gender reassignment, religion or belief and pregnancy \& maternity. Only <br> aim (i) of the Duty applies to Marriage \& civil partnership. |  |


|  | Please indicate which aim is relevant to the report.Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment, victimisation and <br> other conduct prohibited by the Act, | x |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a <br> protected characteristic and people who do not share it | x |  |
| Foster good relations between people who share a protected <br> characteristic and people who do not share it. | x |  |


| CORPORATE PRIORITIES (tick <br> those relevant) $\checkmark$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| A clean and welcoming <br> Environment |  |
| Promoting inward investment and <br> job creation |  |
| Supporting neighbourhoods |  |


| CORPORATE VALUES (tick <br> those relevant), |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Delivering value for money |  |
| Supporting the Workforce |  |
| Promoting open communications | $\checkmark$ |

### 1.0 Introduction

1.1 This report outlines the changes needed to proportionality and committees as a result of Cllr Venables ceasing to be a Councillor.

### 2.0 Political Balance

2.1 The current political balance of the Council is shown in the table below:

| Political Group | Before <br> changes | After <br> changes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conservative | 22 | 22 |
| Independent \& UKIP <br> Group | 14 | 14 |
| Thanet Independent <br> Councillors | 12 | 12 |
| Labour | 6 | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| Independent (non group) | 2 | 2 |
| Vacant Seats | 0 | $\mathbf{1}$ |

2.2 The Local Government and Housing Act 1989 requires the Council to allocate seats on committees to political Groups in accordance with the size of each group on the Council as a whole and in accordance with the following principles which should be observed as far as is reasonably practicable:
a) That not all seats on the same committee are allocated to the same political group;
b) That the majority of the seats on a committee are allocated to a particular political group if the number of persons belonging to that group is a majority of the Council's membership;
c) That, subject to a) and b) above, the number of seats on committees allocated to each political group bears the same proportion of the total of all the seats on committees;
d) That, subject to a), b) and c) above, the number of seats on a committee allocated to each political group bears the same proportion to the number of all seats on that committee.
2.3 For the purposes of political balance a Group is required to have at least two members and to have been formally constituted as a political group before the meeting.
2.4 Democratic Services have contacted the four group Leaders and consensus has not been achieved, therefore five options are being presented to this meeting. These are outlined at paragraphs 2.5 to 2.8 . However if Members wished to propose an alternative they can do under option 5 at paragraph 2.9 , however compliance with the proportionality rules would need to be verified by officers, prior to it being adopted.

### 2.5 Option 1

2.5.1 That a seat is removed from the Planning Committee and that the Labour Group loses that seat.

### 2.6 Option 2

2.6.1 That a seat is removed from the Boundary and Electoral Arrangements Working Party and the Labour Group loses that seat.

### 2.7 Option 3

2.7.1 That a seat is removed from the Governance and Audit Committee and the Labour Group loses that seat.

### 2.8 Option 4

2.8.1 That a seat is removed from the Licensing Board and the Labour Group loses that seat.

### 2.9 Option 5

2.9.1 That another solution that achieves proportionality is agreed. Note that if an alternative proposal is developed during the meeting, compliance with the proportionality rules would need to be verified by officers.
2.10 The tables below show the change to numbers serving on Committees as a result of these proposals:

| Committees <br> Option 1 | Total | Conservative <br> Group | Independent <br> \& UKIP <br> Group | Thanet <br> Independent <br> Councillors | Labour <br> Group |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Planning <br> Committee | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 6 | 4 | 3 | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Licensing Board | 15 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| FB\&P Scrutiny <br> Panel | 8 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| EP\&CS Scrutiny <br> Panel | 8 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Gov. and Audit | 15 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| General <br> Purposes | 10 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| B\&EA Working <br> Party | 8 | 3 | 22 | 19 | 8 |
| CRWP | 5 | 2 | 34 | 1 |  |
| Totals | 83 | 34 |  | 1 |  |


| Committees <br> Option 2 | Total | Conservative <br> Group | Independent <br> \& UKIP <br> Group | Thanet <br> Independent <br> Councillors | Labour <br> Group |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Planning <br> Committee | 15 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Licensing Board | 15 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| FB\&P Scrutiny <br> Panel | 8 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| EP\&CS Scrutiny <br> Panel | 8 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Gov. and Audit | 15 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| General <br> Purposes | 10 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| B\&EA Working <br> Party | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| CRWP | 5 | 2 | 22 | 19 | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| Totals | 83 | 34 | 2 | 1 |  |


| Committees <br> Option 3 | Total | Conservative <br> Group | Independent <br> UKIP <br> Group | Thanet <br> Independent <br> Councillors | Labour <br> Group |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Planning <br> Committee | 15 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Licensing Board | 15 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| FB\&P Scrutiny <br> Panel | 8 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| EP\&CS Scrutiny <br> Panel | 8 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Gov. and Audit | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 6 | 4 | 4 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| General <br> Purposes | 10 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| B\&EA Working <br> Party | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| CRWP | 5 | 2 | 22 | 19 | 8 |
| Totals | 83 | 34 |  | 2 | 1 |


| Committees <br> Option 4 | Total | Conservative <br> Group | Independent <br> \& UKIP <br> Group | Thanet <br> Independent <br> Councillors | Labour <br> Group |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Planning <br> Committee | 15 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Licensing Board | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 7 | 4 | 3 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| FB\&P Scrutiny <br> Panel | 8 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| EP\&CS Scrutiny <br> Panel | 8 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Gov. and Audit | 15 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| General <br> Purposes | 10 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| B\&EA Working <br> Party | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| CRWP | 5 | 2 | 22 | 19 | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| Totals | 83 | 34 | 4 |  |  |

2.11 The overall political balance calculations for the proposals shown above are available at Annexes 1 to 4.

### 2.12 Recommendation

2.12.1 That Council agrees one of the options outlined at paragraphs $2.5-2.9$ to achieve proportionality.

### 3.0 Nominations of Members to serve on Committees

3.1 Members are reminded that Section 16 of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989 states that where the Council has determined the allocation to different groups of the seats to which the Act applies, it shall be the duty of the authority to give effect to a Group's wishes about who is to be appointed to the seats that they have been allocated.
3.2 Therefore the corresponding amendments to group nominations as outlined below must also be made.

### 3.3 Option 1

3.3.1 That a seat is removed from the Planning Committee and the Labour Group need to remove a nomination from that Committee. In addition CIIr Venables was a member of Governance and Audit Committee and the Boundary and Electoral Arrangements Working Party and so the Labour Group will need to make new nominations to those Committees.

This is summarised in the table below:

| Committee/Group | Current Position | New Position |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Planning Committee |  |  |
| Labour Group | 2 seats | 1 seat (need to remove a nominee) |
| Governance and Audit |  |  |
| Labour Group | Cllr Venables | New nominee needed |
| BEAWP |  |  |
| Labour Group | Cllr Venables | New nominee needed |

### 3.4 Option 2

3.4.1 That a seat is removed from the Boundary and Electoral Arrangements Working Party and the Labour Group need to remove their nomination from that Committee (although this was CIIr Venables). In addition Cllr Venables was a member of Governance and Audit Committee and so the Labour Group will need to make a new nomination to that Committee.

This is summarised in the table below:

| Committee/Group | Current Position | New Position |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BEAWP |  |  |
| Labour Group | Cllr Venables | No entitlement to a seat |
| Governance and Audit |  |  |
| Labour Group | Cllr Venables | New nominee needed |

### 3.5 Option 3

3.5.1 That a seat is removed from the Governance and Audit Committee and the Labour Group need to remove their nomination from that Committee (although this was Cllr

Venables). In addition Cllr Venables was a member of Boundary and Electoral Arrangements Working Party and so the Labour Group will need to make a new nomination to that Committee.

This is summarised in the table below:

| Committee/Group | Current Position | New Position |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Governance and Audit |  |  |
| Labour Group | Cllr Venables | No entitlement to a seat |
| BEAWP |  |  |
| Labour Group | Cllr Venables | New nominee needed |

### 3.6 Option 4

3.6.1 That a seat is removed from the Licensing Board and the Labour Group need to remove their nomination from that Committee. In addition Cllr Venables was a member of Governance and Audit Committee and the Boundary and Electoral Arrangements Working Party and so the Labour Group will need to make new nominations to those Committees.

This is summarised in the table below:

| Committee/Group | Current Position | New Position |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Licensing Board |  |  |
| Labour Group | 1 seat | No entitlement to seat |
| Governance and Audit |  |  |
| Labour Group | Cllr Venables | New nominee needed |
| BEAWP |  |  |
| Labour Group | Cllr Venables | New nominee needed |

### 3.7 Option 5

3.7.1 If Council agrees its own solution to agree political proportionality then Council must note the relevant changes to group nominations to Committees, Panels and Boards that correspond with those changes

### 3.8 Recommendation

3.8.1 To note the corresponding group nominations to Committees, Panels and Boards for the remainder of 2018/19 as per paragraph 2.12.1. (The names of those Councillors so nominated will be presented at the meeting)

### 4.0 Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen

4.1 If there are any other changes to group nominations that would mean subsequent changes to Chairmanships or Vice-Chairmanships, then the Council would need to make new nominations to those positions.

| Contact Officer: | Nick Hughes, Committee Services Manager |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reporting to: | Tim Howes, Director of Corporate Governance |

## Annex List

| Annex 1 | Proportionality calculations for main committees covered by Local Government <br> \& Housing Act 1989 Option 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Annex 2 | Proportionality calculations for main committees covered by Local Government <br> \& Housing Act 1989 Option 2 |
| Annex 3 | Proportionality calculations for main committees covered by Local Government <br> \& Housing Act 1989 Option 3 |
| Annex 4 | Proportionality calculations for main committees covered by Local Government <br> \& Housing Act 1989 Option 4 |

## Background Papers

| Title | Details of where to access copy |
| :--- | :--- |
| None |  |

## Corporate Consultation

| Finance | Ramesh Prashar, Head of Financial Services |
| :--- | :--- |
| Legal | Tim Howes, Director of Corporate Governance and Monitoring Officer |

